

Personal

Dear Mr. Paul,

Please see the enclosed note which has been sent to me for remarks. It will be assumed that there is hardly any room for me now to offer remarks. I would like 2 assets & H.D.B. wants one of these assets to be abolished or kept in abeyance. This scheme is in a lately was now referred to the Plant Diseases Com. of the D.C.H.R. who in my opinion is also a competent body to offer opinions.

My suggestions were given in connection with this matter & now it seems that from the action that has been taken by H.D.B. that the proposal to run the scheme. He has also provided qualifications for the Plant Pathological Assistant. I have no objection if he runs this scheme over his own name. I should not later be held responsible for it. If my Division has to run the Joint Schemes then this plan is best on basis that are workable. This position as far as Botany is concerned has

now arisen before this is necessary
in last 6 months or so. I don't
at this stage wish to cite other
examples.

I am sorry to have this
to bring to your notice but as I am
going away I will to make this point
clear. C. Office in joint schemes
should convey the sanction to
the institutions concerned & not to
one institution as has been done
in the case of Chilli scheme &
Plant Introduction scheme. I provided
for guarantee house & now I am
being informed by HDB to take
action under advice to this office.

This is obviously not satisfactory
I am sorry this with my
best wishes you can best
this of the reading as I do not
wish such things to be
concerning my sister's institution to
remain on record.

Yours sincerely
R. S. ...
28/4/55

This is surely
the result of
misunderstanding &
some carelessness
of the HDB. I
I have spoken to
to both HDB &
C.O. has
also made
visits to
to facilities
...
21/6