

INFORMATION CABLES

2342 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, N. W.

Telephone Columbia 7039

797

New Delhi, November 18, 1946.

VICEROY'S TOUR OF TRIBAL AREA

His Excellency the Viceroy, during his tour of the North West Frontier, met a jirga of about two hundred representative Afridi leaders at Landi Kotal on November 16. Their spokesman, in the course of an address, said that their treaties were with the British Government, and in the event of the British leaving India they would request that the Khyber Pass be returned to them, the original owners. They might then elect to remain aloof or link themselves with Afghanistan as might suit them. In view of the communal disturbances in India they had no desire to negotiate with the Interim Government unless and until Hindu-Muslim differences had been amicably settled. Replying to the jirga's address, the Viceroy said that the Khyber Pass was an international highway and the tribe would naturally in future wish to make suitable arrangements to keep it open as in the past.

Referring to the request for an increase in allowances, the Viceroy commended the tribe for their helpful attitude during the war. The Khyber Rifles had been reconstituted only recently and the development of the country, the Viceroy pointed out, would help more than increase of allowances.

Regarding the future of the tribal areas, the Viceroy said: "Your freedom is your most precious possession. Maintenance of this freedom, which has been guaranteed to you under the treaty your ancestors made so many years ago with the British Government, is a matter of the utmost importance and I assure you that there is no intention of handing it over to any political party. When the time comes to replace with a new agreement the treaty which now exists between you and the British Government due warning will be given to you and you should then be prepared to negotiate the terms of a fresh agreement with the future Government of India. In doing so, I am sure you will remember that your interests are bound up with India as a whole and not with any political party.

~~of~~ ^{of} ~~for~~ each nebulae, $v \equiv \frac{\Delta \lambda}{\lambda} c$
 in ~~an~~ Euclidean space, such
 as is contemplated by Milne.
 Since I am not familiar with
 Milne's work, ~~of~~ ~~not~~ ~~being~~ ~~a~~
~~task~~ I am ~~to~~ ~~bring~~ ~~the~~ I am
~~not~~ ~~a~~ ~~work~~ - ~~relativist~~, ~~and~~
~~am~~ ~~not~~ ~~able~~ ~~to~~ ~~do~~ ~~it~~ ~~sufficiently~~ ~~to~~ ~~be~~
~~able~~ ~~to~~ ~~do~~
 sufficiently conversant with Milne's
 work to be able to appraise it
 critically, I am ~~sending~~ ~~this~~ ~~note~~
 to consult you regarding ^{the} ~~note~~
 contents of the note. ^{Will you}
 obliged if you will ~~let~~ ~~me~~ ~~know~~ ~~something~~
~~to~~ ~~send~~, something when you see me,
 your best co- ⁱⁿ ~~on~~ ~~this~~ ~~note~~, ~~and~~
~~if~~ ~~it~~ ~~is~~ ~~in~~ ~~particular~~, if you would
 advise publication of the note, ~~which~~

Addressing a gathering of the Ahmedzai Wazir at Wana, the Viceroy made a reply similar to that which he had given to the Afridis, that the tribes should strive to remain united and negotiate, when the time came, a new form of agreement with the future Government of India.

Both jirgas expressed themselves as being greatly concerned at the report of Muslim sufferings in India.

magnitudes

The significance of the Relationship

$R = H^2$ is this =

that his observations fit
simulated into

$\Delta\lambda/\lambda = R r$
where r is distance of nebula

are ~~fit~~ observed luminosities on the assumption that the nebulae radiate as black bodies

(2) $\Delta\lambda/\lambda = R r + L r$
where r is distance obtained

the ~~observed~~ after taking the recession into account — the influence of the ~~recession~~ will be to make the value of R of a given nebula to be less than a factor $1 + \frac{v}{c}$

Notice the ~~fact~~ would be on ~~the~~ ~~order~~ of ~~magnitudes~~ ~~of~~ ~~the~~ ~~stars~~

dist shorter ⁴ ~~comp~~ than the com. dist. for the static model

$r = r_s / (1 + \frac{v}{c})$

then obvious ~~that~~ ~~should~~ be ~~equal~~ to $L \sim R^2$ which is actually the case.

Not being a relativist I feel very deficient about ~~the~~

~~If so~~ ~~But~~ Since I saw ~~the~~ ~~relation~~ ~~between~~ ~~R and L~~ ~~is~~ ~~constant~~ ~~between~~ ~~the~~ ~~two~~ ~~const~~ ~~appear~~ in Hubble's expression ~~the~~ ~~observed~~ ~~data~~ ~~implied~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~relation~~ ~~is~~ ~~that~~ ~~the~~ ~~value~~ ~~of~~ ~~R~~ ~~is~~ ~~constant~~ ~~for~~ ~~all~~ ~~stars~~ ~~with~~ ~~same~~ ~~unif~~ ~~vel.~~