

Chittoor, 23.4.35

Mr. Mahava Rao

I was delighted to get  
your letter of 19<sup>th</sup> with the  
reprints of your papers that  
you have kindly sent me. They  
indicate a wide range of your  
activities which please me  
exceedingly. I am much  
interested, further, to know  
that there will be a paper  
of yours on Quantum  
mechanics appearing in


Inches 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

Centimetres 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19

**TIFFEN** Color Control Patches


© The Tiffen Company, 2007

Blue Cyan Green Yellow Red Magenta White 3/Color Black



the Mathematische Annalen  
How I wish I were 30 or 40  
years younger to plunge  
into such realms of thought  
with me so well endowed,  
& so enthusiastic, ~~and~~  
~~and~~ ~~and~~ ~~and~~ you  
are. May God have many  
things in reserve for you,  
— bringing you distinction.  
My problem is almost

a triviality by the side  
of those things that have  
engaged your attention.  
As you have observed, however,  
the fallacy to which I have  
drawn the attention of friends  
is rather deep-seated. Not  
having got replies from friends  
to whom I referred it I made  
bold to send it to Prof.  
H. F. Baker. . . . Some days  
I found what the fallacy exactly



was. Out of the set  
point- $\beta$ , it happens that  
4 are spurious & do not satisfy  
the conditions of the problem.  
We are left with only three points  
I corresponding to a given M.  
But further problems arise,  
& we want to know, for  
example, the internal relations  
between the P's, by which if  
one of them is known, the other  
two can be 'fixed'. I perfectly  
remember seeing you at our Bombay  
Conferences - & some times still look  
at your countenance so full of promise  
God bless you, again. Yours sincerely,  
V. Ramaswami

