

Rajan

Technology is partly ~~tail~~.

Technology "sale" is regulated
as "credit" was in an earlier
Era.

Will the generators of Intellectual
Property ~~be~~ ~~want~~ have a
"global" standard of
Consumptive affluence.

Can Intelligentia ~~can~~ emerge as
ruling class?

Egos of the ruling classes.
Can we leave the constructors
of the market to design the
market?

Technology dynamics implies
technological inertia.

Ganapathy

- Relative roles of Govt & market
- Choices of technology - make - buy
- Technology is not a neutral instrument
(* impacts are context-specific - Green
G. "revolution" - who gains & who loses)

Automation { high productivity } - ^{neg} de-skilling
{ quality gains }

Unequal impacts. Broaden this
- inequality manifests itself in
many ways - not just class -
includes gender, region etc.

Some technology re-inforces this
inequality.

- Mobility of capital and technology
- "flying geese" theory - India
cannot really part of this. Or
can it?

There is a process at work which
is "globalising" tech. So
we really have any autonomy/
degrees of freedom?
Opening up also means more vulnerability

G. argues for a disaggregated approach.

"Sectoral autonomy" possible?

Relative industrial policy and technology policy. S&T policy largely confined to Central Govt. so far. This will have to change.

Market - State - Community - Industry

What should be the institutional mix?

So far we have looked at only at supply-side. [whole Indian S&T institutions]. This now has to change. What are the features of our S&T system which has caused our lack of competitiveness.

- No - cost - user accountability
- No test of relevance in the marketplace / community

[Development means getting-out of an ^{restrictive} envelope.]

In limited spheres there should be a process of consensus formation.