

PRAKRITI SRIVASTAVA, IFS
ADDITIONAL PRINCIPAL CHIEF
CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS
(Eco-development & Tribal Welfare) &
State Nodal Officer, Green India Mission, Kerala.



Forest Headquarters
3rd Floor, "Vanalakshmi",
Forest Headquarters, Vazhuthacaud,
Thiruvananthapuram - 695 014
E-mail: apccf-ed.for@kerala.gov.in
Phone: 0471 2529340, 2337519
Fax: 0471-2337022

No.E&TW3-41033/16

Dated: 29.09.2016

To
The Divisional Forest Officer,
North Wayanad Division.

Sir,

Sub: Compliant from environmentalist of Wayanad area on ecotourism violating norms-
Reg.

Ref: 1.Note from PCCF&HOFF based on telephonic conversation with Sugatha Kumari
teacher & Complaint of an environmentalist from Wayanad area.
2. Inspection report on Eco-Tourism at Brahmagiri and Muneeshwaran kovil.

Your attention is invited to the reference cited above.
As per the reference 2nd cited ACF & PFM Coordinator of this office was directed to inspect the
Eco-Tourism site at Brahmagiri and Muneeshwaran Kovil.

The Ecotourism area in Muneeshwaran kovil is adjacent to the
revenue area and the Muneeshwaran temple has been thronged by tourist since long. The Private
tourist operators here have erected big buildings in the adjacent revenue areas to facilitate
tourism. All these tourist were trespassing the forest area and spending time in the
Muneeshwaran temple and adjoining forest area prior to the intervention of the Forest
Department. The commissioning of the ecotourism plan and the erection of camp sheds by the
Forest Department with entrance gate has checked the illegal entry to the forest areas. All
ecotourism activities have been carried out as per the approved project and no permanent
constructions has been taken up. **Hence it is permitted to continue with strict directions not to
provide any additional facilities including constructions.**

The facilities for tourist in **Brahmagiri** include stay in tents which are
erected on plywood fixed steel platform with attached bio toilets. This is as sanctioned in the
ecotourism project. The activities carried out are in accordance with the approved ecotourism
plan. But the bigger question still remains whether ecotourism is to be allowed in such a serene
and strategic area.

- The Ecotourism activity is in a grassland eco-system situated inside the forest area, which
has to be the area of intense biological activity including grazing by herbivores and
resulting presence of carnivores.

- **Pakshipathalam** area about 6 kms from here is said to have population of swiftlets. **Edible bird's nests** are bird nests created by swiftlets using solidified saliva, which are harvested for human consumption. Hence influx of tourist to this place is not advisable.
- Ecotourism within such interior areas will negatively affect the wildlife movement and consequently may trigger the intensity of man-animal conflict.
Hence it is directed that the tourism activities at Brahmagiri should be stopped. The camping tents can be utilized elsewhere for accommodating staff, census volunteers, scientist and scientific community with required permission.

On the complaint of planting Mahogany, it is clarified that local people in this area has raised huge resistance against the planting of teak, acacia, and eucalyptus for past two few years. Hence, the then Chief Conservator of Forests (northern Circle) has decided to go with Mahogany and other hardwood species. The substituted species planting is reasonably successful and also has local demand. The management plan also sanctions this activity. **But it is directed that further planting of Mahogany shall be taken up only after getting prior approval from the CCF council.**

The copy of the inspection report is also enclosed herewith for perusal. The directions may be implemented and compliance report submitted at the earliest. The efforts of the Divisional Forest Officer to implement Ecotourism and safeguard forests is appreciable.

Encl: 1. Copy of the inspection report.

Yours faithfully,

Additional Principal Chief Conservator of Forests (E&TW)

1

BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL

SOUTHERN ZONE, CHENNAI

Application No.266 of 2016 (SZ)

In the matter of

Wayanad Prakriti Samrakshana Samiti
Rep. by its President N. Badusha
Sulthan Bathery, Wayanad, Kerala

.. Applicant

Vs.

1. Union of India, Rep. by its Secretary,
Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate
Change, New Delhi
2. State of Kerala, rep. by the Chief Secretary
to the Government, Secretariat
Thiruvananthapuram
3. Principal Secretary to the Government
Forest and Wildlife Department
Secretariat, Thiruvananthapuram
4. The Principal Chief Conservator of Forest
Forest Headquarters
Thiruvananthapuram

5. The Divisional Forest Officer
North Wayanad Division
Mananthavady, Wayanad, Kerala

.. Respondents

Counsel appearing for the applicant

M/s. M.R. Sivakumar, T. Moorthy

Counsel appearing for the respondents

For respondent Nos.1 .. Mr. G.M. Syed Nurullah Sheriff

For respondents 2 to 5 .. Mrs. A.S. Suvitha

ORDER

Present

Hon'ble Shri Justice Dr. P. Jyothimani, Judicial Member

Hon'ble Shri P.S.Rao, Expert Member

4th July, 2017

Whether judgment is allowed to be published on the Internet .. Yes/No

Whether judgment is to be published in the All India NGT Reporter .. Yes/No

We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the applicant, State Government as well as MoEF & CC in detail.

The prayer in the application is to declare the eco-tourism activity carried on by the 5th respondent viz., the Divisional Forest Officer, North Wayanad Division, in Brahmagiri and Muneeshwaram Hills of North Wayanad Forest Division in Kerala as illegal and violative of Section 2 of the Forest (Conversion) Act, 1980.

2. The reply filed by the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests and Head of Forest Force, Kerala as adopted by the State Government, states that the eco-tourism projects were approved in the Working Plan by MoEF & CC in respect of North Wayanad Division for 2015-2025. It is further stated that trekking to Brahmagiri is managed by Papanasam Vana Samrakshana Samithy and has been in operation for the past many years. It was found that there is no violation of eco-tourism guidelines. Fencing was made for the permanent anti-poaching camp shed in 2013-2014 for protection activities which is not forming part of eco-tourism. The affidavit further states that the eco-tourism activities in Brahmagiri peak would mean additional pressure on the landscape and considering this the entire activities of eco-tourism have been completely stopped. It further states that for the purpose of stopping eco-tourism in the locality, permanent anti-poaching camp shed with concrete structure has been constructed which will also help in strengthening the forest protection.

3. The MoEF & CC in its detailed affidavit has stated that while referring to the Explanation to Section 2 of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 the non-forest purpose excludes the work relating or ancillary to conservation, development and management of forests and wildlife

(4) No non-forestry activity shall be taken up in the forest area in violation of the provision of C(C) Act, 1980.

(5) It may be noted that the provision of F(C) Act 1980 and guidelines issued there-under are strictly adhered to while implementing the approved working plan.

(6) Final felling shall be taken up subject to matching budgetary provision for replanting of felled area. Without regenerating the clear felled area, no new felling shall be taken up in the succeeding year.

(7) No Eco-tourism activity involving permanent constructions shall be carried out without prior approval under F(C) Act, 1980.

(8) At the end of each financial year, copy of the control journal shall be submitted to this office on or before 30th of June.

(9) A detailed report indicating area harvested and the area regenerated in a year shall be sent to Regional Office, Bangalore by 31st of May every year. First such report shall reach this office on or before 31.5.2017. Failure to submit this report before the designated date, the approval given will stand revoked."

Needless to state that the Kerala Forest Department has to necessarily follow all the conditions of the Working Plan scrupulously while implementing the scheme.

5. In view of the above statement, we are fully satisfied that sufficient safeguards have been made for the purpose of protecting the forest and environment and recording the said contents of the conditions and the affidavit, the application stands disposed of. We make it clear that as per the conditions stipulated, it is always open to the MoEF & CC to take appropriate action in case of violation of any of the conditions by the Forest Department.

Justice Dr.P.Jyothimani

namely, the establishment of check-posts, fire lines, wireless communications and construction of fencing, bridges and culverts, dams, waterholes, trench marks, boundary marks, pipelines or other like purposes. It is further stated in the affidavit that the establishment of anti-poaching camp will help in effective monitoring of the movement of wild animals like elephants and help in their protection and therefore it cannot be said that the anti-poaching camp will increase human-animal conflict. It is further stated by the MoEF & CC that the fire watchers are put up in the camps and watch tower was constructed to prevent forest fires. The solar fencing is erected in less than 1 ha of grassland to protect the forest staff from the attack of wild animals and this will not in any way affect the migratory movement of large animals like elephants, tigers etc. It is further stated that the Working Plan submitted by the Government of Kerala through its Forest Department for the North Wayanad Forest Division for the period from 2015-2016 to 2024-2025 under the Forest (Conversion) Act, 1980, has been approved by the MoEF & CC on 5.12.2016.

4. The approval granted by the MoEF & CC dated 5.12.2016 for the period 2015 – 2016 to 2024 – 2025 is subject to the following conditions:

(1) While undertaking thinning, minimum basal area/number of trees required to be retained may be worked out before sanction of estimate for the same.

(2) Thinning/felling shall not be done in steep slopes and within 30M from Streams/River banks.

(3) Details regarding the location and extent of each of CA area as per approval and status of notification of non-forest CA land should also be included in the working plan in the FC Chapter, as per the enclosed format, in the final fair copy.

SRI. BADUSHA. N.
INAKKATTY
