

अन्तर्देशीय पत्र कार्ड  
INLAND LETTER CARD



Dr. V - Subramaniam. D.Sc. FNA  
Director, Rice Processing Research Centre  
(Modern Rice Mills)  
P-O-VIJAYA PURAM  
THIRUVARUR  
TAMIL NADU पिन PIN

पहला मोड़ First fold

तीसरा मोड़ Third fold

दूसरा मोड़ Second fold

प्रेषक का नाम और पता :- Sender's name and address :-

M. Subramaniam  
1107 Gita Road

पिन/PIN



इस पत्र के भीतर कुछ न रखिए NO ENCLOSURES ALLOWED

पत्र को यहाँ से काटिए To open cut here

CFTRI, Mysore

19-4-74

My dear Sir, I thank you for all your letters.

Mr. M.R. Chandrashekara came here yesterday. I had a long talk with him on various matters mentioned in your letter. He told me that the local C.S.R. lawyer is safe deducting the 'Compromise formula' and this will be sent to Law Officer C.S.R. for approval. The approved form may be sent to all the persons concerned with the Annual Royalty for their signature.

Mr. Chandrashekara is interested to get Royalty for 1969 also at the higher rate. Since he has been the principal worker & has carried out with great success the laboratory work & the production work, I would request you to agree to his desire.

Personally I am for giving him ~~more~~ a greater share as he has been the sole worker in the baby food project working full time for several years.

Thank you with just you all in good luck  
With kind regards,  
Yours S/P  
M. Srinivasan

Paddy Processing Research Centre,  
Vijayapuram,  
Tiruvapur,  
Tamil Nadu,  
13-4-74.

Dr. M. Swaminathan,  
Scientist F (Retd),  
Central Food Technological Research  
Institute,  
MYSORE-13.

My dear Dr. Swaminathan,

Your letter of 5/4 had arrived during my absence and I saw it only after my return on the 12th morning. Shri Chandrasekhara's letter of 5/4 and the enclosed copy of the letter from Shri K.G. Krishnamurthi had also arrived during my absence.

I enclose herewith a copy of my reply to Shri Chandrasekhara. I do not know what proposals he had circulated and for which he got the signatures. So far as I am concerned, I have no objection to the compromise formula provided he adheres to the terms which he had previously agreed to and it is properly drafted by the lawyers as proposed by Shri Krishnamurthi.

The CSIR will not, of course, take any further initiative. It may not however object to a compromise formula if acceptable to all the people. Shri Krishnamurthi seems to have written his letter after consulting Dr. Nayudamma.

It is evident that Shri Chandrasekhara is hesitant about agreeing to arbitration. Though I still feel that arbitration would be the least open to objection, I do not wish to press for it. If the terms of the compromise are properly drawn up and the background suitably explained in clear terms, the participants, who are now abroad, may agree to it.

Your talk with Shri Chandrasekhara may still be useful. He will have to stick to his undertaking. In fact, I feel that the compromise formula will carry more weight if it is drafted and circulated jointly by the two lawyers. I do not know, however, whether they will agree among themselves or be prepared to address the compromise proposals so as to get the replies within a given period - say, within about a month.

It is beyond me to conjecture as to how the participants who are now abroad will react to the proposal for compromise. If even one of the participants objects to the compromise formula, we will then have no alternative but to request the D.G. take the necessary steps for arbitration. Shri Chandrasekhara should shed his diffidence and agree to the arbitration if the compromise formula is objected to by any of the participants.

With my best regards,

Yours sincerely,

(V. SUBRAHMANYAN)

23-4-74.

Dr. M. Swaminathan,  
1109, Gita Road,  
MYSORE-4.

My dear Dr. Swaminathan,

I entered into correspondence with Shri Chandrasekhara to evolve a mutually acceptable formula for compromise on the basis of a request which you had made to me. Shri Chandrasekhara agreed to certain terms and these were forwarded to the Council. The Director-General did not however feel that such a compromise would be a satisfactory working arrangement. He advised that arbitration by an eminent Jurist would still be the best course.

2. Shri Chandrasekhara had agreed to arbitration at an earlier date. Later, when the CSIR circulated the proposals for arbitration, he was the only one who did not accept them. He proposed some new terms which were not acceptable to the Council. Consequently, the Council could not proceed with the arbitration.

3. The Council had however no objection to considering a compromise formula acceptable to all the participants; <sup>but</sup> did not wish to take any further initiative in regard to the matter.

4. Shri Chandrasekhara had now proposed a compromise formula which is different from what he had agreed to at an earlier date. Without any further reference to me, he got the concurrence of some <sup>of</sup> others to his <sup>new</sup> formula and then sent it to the Council. He now wants me to accept that formula.

5. I have no further interest in the rights and wrongs of the past. I am not also interested in benefitting by whatever may still be due to me. At the same time, I do not wish to accept a compromise at any cost. If he now wishes to go back on the compromise formula which he had agreed to at an earlier date, I do not wish to be a party to it.

6. I have already made a suggestion that you, Shri Chandrasekhara and I may meet with the two lawyers at Mysore, discuss the implications and arrive at a working arrangement on the basis of which the lawyers can draft the proposals. From what you have written to me in your letter of 19/4, I have to gather that the lawyer engaged by the CSIR is already drafting the compromise formula and that this will be sent to the Law Officer of the CSIR. If that is the case, I have nothing more to say about it.

7. Though all of us - including Shri Chandrasekhara - wish to have an early settlement, things are now dragging on because Shri Chandrasekhara wishes to have things done in his own way. He has already gone back on his word a few times and from what I can make out, he now wishes to go back on the compromise formula which he had previously agreed to. In this connection, I have to reluctantly state that, notwithstanding my desire for an early settlement, I do not wish to accept any compromise formula other than what he had already agreed to.

8. I do now wish to act precipitately in regard to the matter. You were kind enough to agree with my views when we met at Mysore on the evening of 10/4/74. I am still hoping that you can persuade Shri Chandrasekhara to stick to the terms that he had already agreed to. In <sup>deference</sup> difference to his wishes, I have already agreed that we need not go through with the arbitration. If there is to be a compromise formula, it must be on terms which he had already agreed to. He should not now try to impose a new set of conditions and expect me to accept them.

9. I have endeavoured to be helpful and constructive in my approach. I have concentrated my thinking only on the present with a view to being of some assistance in arriving at a quick, equitable solution which will impose no hardship <sup>on</sup> to any one. I trust that both you and Shri Chandrasekhara will appreciate my object and will take the necessary action in accordance with what has already been agreed to. If however the lawyers are now asked to draft a new set of proposals, I will have no option but to refuse to accept them and also to inform the CSIR accordingly.

Hoping to hear from you at an early date and with my best regards,

Yours sincerely,

(V. SUBRAHMANYAN)

23-4-74.

Dr. M. Swaminathan,  
1109, Gita Road,  
MYSORB-4.

My dear Dr. Swaminathan,

I entered into correspondence with Shri Chandrasekhara to evolve a mutually acceptable formula for compromise on the basis of a request which you had made to me. Shri Chandrasekhara agreed to certain terms and these were forwarded to the Council. The Director-General did not however feel that such a compromise would be a satisfactory working arrangement. He advised that arbitration by an eminent Jurist would still be the best course.

2. Shri Chandrasekhara had agreed to arbitration at an earlier date. Later, when the CEIR circulated the proposals for arbitration, he was the only one who did not accept them. He proposed some new terms which were not acceptable to the Council. Consequently, the Council could not proceed with the arbitration.

3. The Council had however no objection to considering a compromise formula acceptable to all the participants; did not wish to take any further initiative in regard to the matter.

Shri Chandrasekhara had now proposed a compromise formula which is different from what he had agreed to at an earlier date. Without any further reference to me, he got the concurrence of some <sup>of</sup> others to his new formula and then sent it to the Council. He now wants me to accept that formula.

I have no further interest in the rights and wrongs of the past. I am not also interested in benefitting by whatever may still be due to me. At the same time, I do not wish to accept a compromise at any cost. If he now wishes to go back on the compromise formula which he had agreed to at an earlier date, I do not wish to be a party to it.

I have already made a suggestion that you, Shri Chandrasekhara and I may meet with the two lawyers at Mysore, discuss the implications and arrive at a working arrangement on the basis of which the lawyers can draft the proposals. From what you have written to me in your letter of 19/4, I have to gather that the lawyer engaged by the CSIR is already drafting the compromise formula and that this will be sent to the Law Officer of the CSIR. If that is the case, I have nothing more to say about it.

Though all of us - including Shri Chandrasekhara - wish to have an early settlement, things are now dragging on because Shri Chandrasekhara wishes to have things done in his own way. He has already gone back on his word a few times and from what I can make out, he now wishes to go back on the compromise formula which he had previously agreed to. In this connection, I have to reluctantly state that, notwithstanding my desire for an early settlement, I do not wish to accept any compromise formula other than what he had already agreed to.

I do now wish to act precipitately in regard to the matter. You were kind enough to agree with my views when we met at Mysore on the evening of 10/4/74. I am still hoping that you can persuade Shri Chandrasekhara to stick to the terms that he had already agreed to. In difference to his wishes, I have already agreed that we need not go through with the arbitration. If there is to be a compromise formula, it must be on terms which he had already agreed to. He should not now try to impose a new set of conditions and expect me to accept them.

I have endeavoured to be helpful and constructive in my approach. I have concentrated my thinking only on the present with a view to being of some assistance in arriving at a quick equitable solution which will impose no hardship to any one. I trust that both you and Shri Chandrasekhara will appreciate my object and will take the necessary action in accordance with what has already been agreed to. If however the lawyers are now asked to draft a new set of proposals, I will have no option but to refuse to accept them and also to inform the CSIR accordingly.

Hoping to hear from you at an early date and with my best regards,

Yours sincerely,

(V. SUBRAHMANYAN)

23-4-74.

Dr. H. Swaminathan,  
1109, Gita Road,  
MYSORE-4.

My dear Dr. Swaminathan,

I entered into correspondence with Sri Chandrasekhara to evolve a mutually acceptable formula for compromise on the basis of a request which you had made to me. Sri Chandrasekhara agreed to certain terms and these were forwarded to the Council. The Director-General did not however feel that such a compromise would be a satisfactory working arrangement. He advised that arbitration by an eminent Jurist would still be the best course.

2. Sri Chandrasekhara had agreed to arbitration at an earlier date. Later, when the CSIR circulated the proposals for arbitration, he was the only one who did not accept them. He proposed some new terms which were not acceptable to the Council. Consequently, the Council could not proceed with the arbitration.

3. The Council had however no objection to considering a compromise formula acceptable to all the participants; did not wish to take any further initiative in regard to the matter.

Sri Chandrasekhara had now proposed a compromise formula which is different from what he had agreed to at an earlier date. Without any further reference to me, he got the concurrence of some others to his new formula and then sent it to the Council. He now wants me to accept that formula.

I have no further interest in the rights and wrongs of the past. I am not also interested in benefitting by whatever may still be due to me. At the same time, I do not wish to accept a compromise at any cost. If he now wishes to go back on the compromise formula which he had agreed to at an earlier date, I do not wish to be a party to it.

I have already made a suggestion that you, Shri Chandrasekhara and I may meet with the two lawyers at Mysore, discuss the implications and arrive at a working arrangement on the basis of which the lawyers can draft the proposals. From what you have written to me in your letter of 19/4, I have to gather that the lawyer engaged by the CSIR is already drafting the compromise formula and that this will be sent to the Law Officer of the CSIR. If that is the case, I have nothing more to say about it.

Though all of us - including Shri Chandrasekhara - wish to have an early settlement, things are now dragging on because Shri Chandrasekhara wishes to have things done in his own way. He has already gone back on his word a few times and from what I can make out, he now wishes to go back on the compromise formula which he had previously agreed to. In this connection, I have to reluctantly state that, notwithstanding my desire for an early settlement, I do not wish to accept any compromise formula other than what he had already agreed to.

I do now wish to act precipitately in regard to the matter. You were kind enough to agree with my views when we met at Mysore on the evening of 10/4/74. I am still hoping that you can persuade Shri Chandrasekhara to stick to the terms that he had already agreed to. In difference to his wishes, I have already agreed that we need not go through with the arbitration. If there is to be a compromise formula, it must be on terms which he had already agreed to. He should not now try to impose a new set of conditions and expect me to accept them.

I have endeavoured to be helpful and constructive in my approach. I have concentrated my thinking only on the present with a view to being of some assistance in arriving at a quick equitable solution which will impose no hardship to any one. I trust that both you and Shri Chandrasekhara will appreciate my object and will take the necessary action in accordance with what has already been agreed to. If however the lawyers are now asked to draft a new set of proposals, I will have no option but to refuse to accept them and also to inform the CSIR accordingly.

Hoping to hear from you at an early date and with my best regards,

Yours sincerely,

(V. SUBRAHMANYAN)

Centre at Tiruvarur has now got a national status and we are getting our funds from a consortium now made up of the Governments of India and Tamil Nadu and also the Food Corporation of India. We are now formally attached to the Tamil Nadu Civil Supplies Corporation which under-writes our budget. Some new lines of advance have emerged and we are now in the throes of taking them to the stage of application.

Hoping to write to you again at an early date and with my best wishes to you and to your family,

Yours sincerely,

(V. SUBRAHMANYAN)